

Message

From: Tesler, Theodore [thtesler@pa.gov]
Sent: 5/7/2018 6:41:09 PM
To: Matt Johnston [mjohnston@chesapeakebay.net]; Kasi, Veronica [vbkasi@pa.gov]; Kristen Wolf [kwolf@pa.gov]; Trentacoste, Emily [trentacoste.emily@epa.gov]; Shenk, Tyler [TShenk@srbc.net]
Subject: RE: Draft PA Countywide Planning Targets

Thanks Matt,

I heard from Nicki at this morning's staff meeting that all the other states are using 2017 progress as baseline for their WIP planning. Would it be terribly difficult for us to switch to the 2017P run for WIP3 planning by dropping the 2017 data into the workbook figure?

-Ted

From: Matt Johnston [mailto:mjohnston@chesapeakebay.net]
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2018 2:28 PM
To: Kasi, Veronica <vbkasi@pa.gov>; Wolf, Kristen <kwolf@pa.gov>; Tesler, Theodore <thtesler@pa.gov>; Emily Trentacoste <trentacoste.emily@epa.gov>; Shenk, Tyler <TShenk@srbc.net>
Subject: Draft PA Countywide Planning Targets
Importance: High

All,

I committed to get you the most important spreadsheet this side of the Delaware River – the PA Draft County Planning Targets. It is attached. I double-checked these loads with CAST last week, and they check out, so I think these are OK to release publicly if Nicki agrees. Nicki, once I receive the go-ahead, I'd like to also post these on CAST under the "Developing a Plan" section. That is where the planning target spreadsheets currently reside.

A few notes on these:

- 1) They do not include the agricultural compliance and MS4 compliance scenarios. The former is easy to add, but the latter is tougher because we did not directly use each municipalities' PRP BMPs in the scenarios. Ted and I are working with SRBC Staff to redo that MS4 scenario using the EXACT PRP BMPs, but that may take a while. Nicki, please let me know if you want draft compliance scenarios added to a copy of this spreadsheet – I hope to keep this spreadsheet clean and change it only if the PSC agrees to changes in the future.
- 2) The first worksheet, "PSCDraftPT," lists the state-basin planning targets. If PA had followed these planning targets exactly, then areas within the Susquehanna watershed would have to do slightly more (percentage-wise) than areas in the Potomac and other basins. It is really, really important to continue to communicate to EPA and all others, that these planning targets were thoroughly analyzed, and ultimately PA agreed to spread the reductions around all basins. What this means on paper is that slightly larger reductions will occur in the Potomac and other basins than were originally called for by the Partnership's process, and be "traded" back to the Susquehanna to fulfill the planning targets. I strongly recommend including an appendix with this planning target table and the explanations in your Phase III WIP submission to EPA.
- 3) The bar charts you are used to seeing can be found on the "TNSlides" and "TPSlides" worksheets. This is also where I would incorporate additional scenarios as they are developed and approved.

As always, let me know if you have any additional questions.

Matthew E. Johnston
Senior Policy Analyst
Chesapeake Bay Program Office
University of Maryland Department of Environmental Science and Technology
Office: 410-267-5707

Cell: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)